UNITED STATES ARMY

CENTER OF MILITARY HISTORY

+ + + + +

INTERVIEW

OF



(This transcript was produced from tapes provided by the Center of Military History.)

* SECRET *

Declassified by: MG Michael X. Garrett, USCENTCOM Chief of Staff Declassified on: 201505

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(b)(6) This is b6 writing a
3	story on Multinational Corps - Iraq in the U.S. Army Center
4	of Military History. Today is 21 Correction, today is 26
5	June 2007, and I am about to interview <u>b3b6</u> C-
6	2 Multinational Corps - Iraq at Headquarters, Multinational
7	Corps - Iraq, Al Faw Palace, outside Baghdad, paq. This is
8	the second in a series of interviews with b3, b6 the
9	C-2.
10	b3b6 I last interviewed you three months
11	ago on 21 March, and Fardel Kanoun (Phonetic) had been in
12	progress for about a month. The Fardel Kanoun was the
13	operation to secure Baghdad, and the surge was about I
14	think, about two-fifths complete. That is, two brigades had
15	arrived.
16	You indicated at the time and you said in that
17	interview you were cautiously optimistic. What I'd like
18	to do is ask you for an update on that, how you feel, how the

T *

RE

NCC

DDOCEE

DT

.

19

20 <u>b3, b6</u> Okay. As you know, the surge 21 forces have recently all arrived, and so we are really now 22 just in the surge -- As my boss would say, we've gone from a

progress is going from where you sit as the C-2.

ECRET * S

surge in forces surqe in troops а to surqe in 1 to а operations. And so that's just -- It really began on the 2 15th of this month. 3

4 So the things that we expected to happen have. 5 Sectarian violence in Baghdad has been reduced because of the 6 presence of more Coalition forces and the activities of Iraq 7 security forces.

Equally important, I believe, the forces outside 8 Baghdad, particularly in the Baghdad belts, but also in 9 Divala, particularly Baqubah, have done two things, really. 10 One is that they have reduced what we call the accelerants 11 things coming into the Baghdad area, those that 12 cause sectarian violence like VBIEDs. But then they have also been 13 there for the forces that have -- the enemy forces that have 14 departed Baghdad, so something to with them, 15 deal not allowing them to have safe havens in places that they have 16 gone before. 17

So all of this has given -- beginning to give the Iraq security forces both room and time to gain capability so that they will be able to, hopefully, eventually stand on their own.

22

So I think it's gotten off to a good start. It

is still just at a start. 1 And so would you characterize your 2 h 6 assessment as cautiously optimistic again? 3 I would. Nothing has changed in 4 b 3, b 6 terms of that. 5 But there is a decrease in sectarian 6 b 6 violence in Baghdad? 7 There is. b 3, b 6 8 As a result of (b)(6) Kanoun. 9 10 Correc b 3 b 6 Under Qrder 0701 under the threat 11 b 6 Op situation, GOI's condition as, vou assess the current 12 quote/unquote, I wondered if you could "a failing state." 13 explain that a little bit? 14 I think -- Well, a failing state 15 h or part of a tailing state, I would say, is still in effect, 16 because if we were to leave right now or decrease rapidly, I 17 do not believe they are mature enough to stand on their own. 18 So that's how I would define that, the inability 19 to secure Iraq and, therefore, preserve it as a state. And 20 that hasn't changed either. They need time, room and time, 21 as I said before, and so at least several months of surge 22

FC R

1 operations to mature and have an opportunity to do that.

2 (b)(6) Today we see the security situation 3 as improved in Anbar, and other Sunni groups appear to be 4 willing to cooperate with Coalition forces.

Should this movement be seen as reconciliation or 5 some tactical move on the part of the Sunnis to strength 6 their positions, reconciliation, and you know, implies 7 reconciliation between the Sunni and Shia, but what we see 8 like in Anbar is that the Sunnis are ready kind of aligning 9 themselves with us for their own protection against AQI. 10

11 (b)(3),(b)(6) Right So I think the simple 12 answer to your question is both. Some places, it is for 13 their own security. Yes, there are more reconciling with us 14 than the Shia in those particular areas.

Other places, it's both. They are reconciling with both us and the Shia, but what we also see -- and it's not in the headlines as much -- is outreach from both Shia groups to Sunni groups and also Sunni groups, specifically in Anbar, to Shia groups.

Example: Yesterday's suicide vest bombing. It was actually after a reconciliation conference at the Monsur (Phonetic) Hotel in Baghdad. Among the dead were both Shia

F. R E.

* SECRET *

and Sunni representatives. So that's an example of it was both involved in that. But it's not a clean definition of what they are reconciling with.

b.6 Right.

4

15

5 <u>b3,b6</u>: Clearly, among just the Sunnis 6 there is movement to fight against Al Qaeda in Iraq, simply 7 because they are tired of being intimidated and run by Al 8 Qaeda in Iraq.

So you know, aside from the Shia reconciliation, 9 that's going on, again for their -preservation and for 10 their ability selfand, therefore, 11 to gain determination in their area but also to participate in the 12 And they've got to get past Al Qaeda in central government. 13 Iraq to do that. 14

Get rid of the intimidation against them participating to be able to do that. But that's all part of reconciliation, in my view, participating in the political process.

Right.

20 <u>b6</u>: You know, General Odierno has been 21 placing a lot more emphasis on reconciliation, as I'm sure 22 you know, and in particular this past month or so. I also

E.

noticed in 0701 where reconciliation appears as a key task, and in the past it hadn't. It was mentioned, but not as a key task.

I wondered how much are you and your staff section, intelligence staff section, playing in this reconciliation emphasis, if you will, that the CG is placing on it?

Well, we're playing very heavilv. 8 b 6 As you know, it began with the succe that started in 9 1.4c Anbar, but then in started seeing 10 we this attract the attention of groups in other places. 11 So you know, it was partially on our advice to 12 leadership the their knowledge of know, the 13 and, 011 Intuitiveness as well, but also our situation and their 14 advice based on that this is something 15 1.4c we needed to seriously consider, that the time was becoming 16 right to do that. So we ended up actually sponsoring 17 the first reconciliation conference that we had here over a 18 month ago -- I'll get you the dates -- was an 19 1.4c What are the opportunities that we see 20 1.4c out there? And we brought in based on 21 1.4c

22 all of the MNDs.

* SECRET *

We ran that, and that was sort of a catalyst. 1 b 6 I have seen some of your documents on 2 1: 3 it. That worked pretty well, and then 4 b 3, b 6 that was the spark that got it over to the Operations side. 5 Now we formed in a case what was the analysis and 6 control element on that, a reconciliation cell, and it tells 7 o we've -- It's Support to Reconciliation Cell. 8 got that 9 going. And when was that formed? 10 b 6 say it was about a month Ι 11 b 3 b 6 WOU So that would have been toward the beginning and a half ago. 12 of May. 13 Beginning of May? Okay. b 6 14 First week or two of May. So that 15 b supports the efforts here in the Headquarters as well as the 16 MNDs, many of which are standing up their own cells. 17 What we've seen is that is a sustained effort 18 now, and actually growing. We are adding some folks from 19 other areas to that, but it's no longer just an 20 1.4c 21 1.4c but all the 22 1.4c

* SECRET *

operators are involved right now. We are into execution as opposed to what are the possibilities. So that's been a shift over the last month or so.

Right. Okay, okay. b 6 Ŀ 4 Can you tell me -- this is a little bit of a 5 change in direction here -- you know, about your organization 6 a little bit. You explained this the last time, your case, 7 but I want to hear a little bit about the Red Cell team. How 8 Are they analogous do you use them? know 9 I don't whether you know (b)(6) Hood and 10 (Rhonetic) Fort at his playing in the red cell are 11 Well, the Red Cell doesn't work 12 b 3, b 6

works directly for the for me. It works for me. It 13 Chief Commander the of Staff. So that's not 14 and my organization at 15 But it's under the case file Okay. 16 6

19 old one.

20 **b** 6 : It's an old one? Okay.

21 (b)(3), (b)(6) And that was a Red Cell, not a Red

22 Team.

* secret *

Declassified by: MG Michael X. Garrett, USCENTCOM Chief of Staff Declassified on: 201505

1	b 6 Right.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	(b)(3), (b)(6), 1.4c
7	0.
8	S
9	2010250
10	The Red Team that is not us is a
12	trained
13	<u>b6</u> Right.
14	b3, b6 Red Team that works for the
15	Chief of Staff, the Commander, does alternative analysis,
16	gives them advice, all the things that you think of that a
17	real Red Team, they do that, don't work for me.
18	That Red Cell did not try to do that.
19	b 6 . Okay. Well, that clarifies. I got
20	an e-mail from (b)(6) and you know, and I had just been
21	exploring your case cell, and I saw the Red Team, and I said,
22	well, in the C-2, in the (b)(3),(b)(6)

RET *

EC

* SECRET *

Well, no. That was a thought when 1 b 3 b 6 we came over, but -- and in fact, I did the coordination with 2 b3, b6 (Inaudible), b3 b6 and all of his guys. But when 3 we finally got it coordinated that we were going to get the 4 Red Team, it went like it should, a sort of separate and 5 autonomous (Inaudible) by the Chief. 6 Okay. I am going to ask you a little 7 (b)(6) bit about the you have focused on 8 1.4c and this 1.4b, 1.4d is a classified interview, up to Secret. 9 You know, the Commander General Petraeus have 10 and both made statements about arms being identified as coming 11 from 1.4b, 1.4d and I wonder if you can elaborate a little bit on 12 this from the 2's perspective. 13 , ppro 14 15 16 17 (b)(3), (b)(6), 1.4b, 1.4d 18 19 20 So that has grown over time, and that's obviously 21 a concern, because you want an Iraq that's independent, that 22

ECRE

m.

RE

is not as influenced, and certainly don't want Coalition
soldiers being killed by the things that are coming across,
EFPs and other things.

So what we've done at the Corps level is focus on those surrogates at Multinational Force-Iraq, and in CENTCOM they are focused on 1.4b, 1.4d itself, particularly CENTCOM. But what we look at is the influence it has inside the country and the groups that are operating under its influence using its weapons and those kinds of things.

growth in The answer to 10 your question is: A influence that has continued. boss asked the other day, 11 has there been a surge by 1.40 to influence Iraq commensurate 12 And the mover is no, but there continues to with our surge. 13 and it's a gradual, deliberate increase, it be an increase, 14 appears to us, in influence, independent of our surge. 15 It was on the incline before, and it continues to 16

16 It was on the incline before, and it continues to 17 be on the incline.

18 <u>b6</u>: Well, I know you track IEDs and the 19 use of IEDs and anti-armor IEDs. So I followed your charts 20 and what-not, and they all show an increase over the last 21 year in the use of IEDs, in particular anti-armor IEDs. Is 22 that a result of the influx from 1.4b, 1.4d the knowledge, the

12 a R E

	1.	4b, 1.4d, (b)(3), (b)(6)		
			~°	S)	
			-7	2	
			0		
So th	nat's a grad	dual but	steady	system in	crease
hat I've talke					
		ςΟ'		s, that i	
ndicator, but o:					
hown other type:	s of conditi	ons, whe	ether it'	s armor pie	ercing
ullets or what	, sniper r	cifles o	or whate	ver are o	n the
ncrease from 1.4b, 1	too.				
N	<u>}</u>				
X					
		1.4b, 1.4d			

m *

R

E

O D C

* SECRET *

Declassified by: MG Michael X. Garrett, USCENTCOM Chief of Staff Declassified on: 201505

1 2 3 1.4b, 1.4d 4 5 What is that? 6 b 6 Ŀ Release 7 8 (b)(3), (b)(6), 1.4b, 1.4d 9 10 Okay. KO 11 b 6 (b)(3), (b)(6), 1.4b, 1.4d 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 Okay. I'd like you to talk a little b 6]: 19 bit about the CG's huddles. You know, the Chief of Staff had 20 told me this, that the CG likes to work in small groups, and 21

22 then when I interviewed him on Sunday, he says he likes to

CRE E ***** *

work in small groups better and in kind of an iterative 1 2 approach the planning and planning development. I wonder if you could talk a little bit about 3 that from your perspective, how often you are included in it, 4 and how often they happen? 5 The CG every day has --6 b 3 b 6 Okay. Well, I'll back up. There is one item that we talked about, 7 but the first thing he does in the morning is a small group, 8 eler me and my quys only. 9 10 b 6 Just you and 5 minutes. About 11 (b)(3), (b)(6) b 6 12 13 (b)(3), (b)(6) ght, 14 b 6 Right. 15 The first thing, before the BUA. 16 b3 b6 0700, yes, sir. Me, the night 17 watch person in the JOC from C-2, from the case, the case 18 chief and the CIA rep, (Inaudible). We all go in, and 19 (b)(6) we give him an update on what happened last night, but it's 20 more than that. 21 That's our opportunity to tell him the hot stuff 22

* SECRET *

1 that's going on and --

That's in his office? (b)(6) 2 It's in his office, desk-side. 3 (b)(3), (b)(6) He sits at his desk. 4 Right. b 6 : 5 We give him the update, and our 6 b 3, b 6 night quy from the JOC tells him the stuff that happened 7 overnight. So that's the immediate information he needs. 8 The Case gives him a little bit more in depth 9 analysis of the high side, the (Inaudible) and all that kind 10 of stuff included, that they get down there that the watch 11 doesn't get. 12 the Case Chief, will give some Then 13 (b)(6) what I call high end analysis, some Sadr stuff, some AQI 14 stuff, just what s going on, and our goal is to give him a 15 quick update to make sure he's ready for what he's going to 16 hear in the BUA from MNFI and he's ready for his small group 17 with General Petraeus, which he goes to. 18 Right. b 6 : 19 That's what we do first thing. : 20 b 3, b 6 Then, of course, the BUA. He goes to small group. While 21

22 he's in small group, we have the Chief of Staff huddle, which

R - FL

Declassified by: MG Michael X. Garrett, USCENTCOM Chief of Staff Declassified on: 201505

is (Inaudible) --1 2 b 6 Right. And then after that, we b 3, b 6 3 Ŀ come together in the small group, the CG/Senior Leader Huddle. 4 That's what he calls it. 5 Right. 6 b 6 That's where he's got b 3 b 6 You've 7 : probably heard who goes to that. 8 The 3, the planner. 9 The only colonels are me and 10 b 3, b 6 the 3. 11 Okav 12 b 6 he rest are the three DCGs. 13 b 3. b 6 Right. b 6 14 The CG -- I'm missing one. CG, 15 b 3 b Chief DCGs, of Staff, ECORD (Phonetic), the three 16 (Inaudible), the ORA rep --17 18 Who? b 6 Ŀ 19 (b)(3), (b)(6) :(b)(3) 50 USC 3507 Okay. 20 b 6 Ŀ Me and the 3. And that is the 21 (b)(3), (b)(6) Ŀ 22 small group that comes together. It gets smaller sometimes.

DEM

-9-E CRE * *

Okay, so we do that. That's the everyday thing. 1 Then I think it's four nights a week now, we have 2 either a planner's huddle meeting with MND-Baghdad or meeting 3 These are at 1930, and those are small with MND-Center. 4 sessions like you described at the beginning. And that's 5 that group plus whoever it is that's briefing. 6 So if it's a planner's huddle, the Plans team 7 comes in and briefs him. If it's MND-Baghdad or MND-Center, 8 because they are close, either we go to their place or they 9 come here, and it's that group plus the CG and whoever he 10 wants to bring to do the update I mean their CG. 11 when you do it like at one of Well, 12 b 6 the MNDs that are close here, is that more formal? 13 It's around their conference No. b 3. b 6 14 around the table. It's not a briefing. table. Just 15 you don't use the audio-visual. They can, if they Usually, 16 want. It's usually paper copies, talking around the table. 17 Very, very informal. 18 Trying to make sure I -- Now there is also -- and 19 ECORD (Phonetic) can tell you this. his the It's 20 There are periodic Effects Board, either a (Inaudible). 21 synchronization meeting or an assessment meeting. It's the 22

E. C R E.

same group plus whoever the ECORD wants to bring. 1 Right. 2 b 6 And there is the 3 b 3, b 6 1: now reconciliation meetings that we have. There are at least one 4 a week. Right now I can't remember when. They chose, I 5 Tuesday nights. Small group plus the reconciliation 6 think, That just highlights this core group of people who people. 7 are meeting with whoever it is that's meeting. 8 do you think that And how effective 9 b 6 is? 10 ffective. 11 b 3 b 6 Verv Very effective, okay. b 6 You know, 12 running a little short on time, (b)(3), (b)(6) know we 13 Τ but I've tailored the questions to get what I wanted, but I 14 wanted to leave it open to you to bring out anything else 15 at this point. 16 that you want to First of all, I want to make sure. 17 b 3, b 6 I realize there are people who would like bigger groups, 18 because they would like to hear the boss's guidance or 19 thoughts or the information that he is getting as well, but I 20

think we do a good job of disseminating it afterwards.

22

The reason I say very effective is that's just

1 the way he likes to operate and he feels comfortable; 2 therefore, that is how he is most effective (Several words 3 inaudible). But there is another side to that.

That's also demanding of the two colonels who do go in there all the time, but I think for the box it's real effective.

Organizationally, mission-wise and everything else, looking at it kind of holistically, having done the mission here before and now back in the same job doing it again, this is a much more complex environment, much more difficult.

balancing mentioned, You are you the 12 as reconciliation type operation with some serious kinetic of 13 type operations that are going on, too, with the most troops 14 that have been here since the end of major combat operations, 15 really, more Division Headquarters and everything else. 16

17 b6 Right.

18 <u>b3, b6</u>: I think that effective may not be
19 the right word, but impressive is how I would describe the
20 operation that got everybody in here, a plan together to
21 execute, and then the execution of that. Whether or not it
22 is successful, as we said earlier, I'm cautiously optimistic.

F. C R 10

But that has nothing to do with all these things I just 1 mentioned, the success or failure of -- it won't be failure, 2 but the level of success of the operation has nothing to do 3 with how well it's been executed. 4 Right. 5 b 6 : Planned and executed. 6 b3 b6 1: It's That is the most well planned and executed thing I amazing. 7

R

8 have seen in now 20 months of being over here in the two 9 tours. So --

10 **b** 6 And, again how long have you been in 11 the service?

12 <u>b 3, b 6</u> Twenty-seven years.

13 <u>b6</u>: Twenty-seven years,

Good stuff, and I attribute that to two great commanders, General Petraeus and General Odierno and some great staffs. And a lot of it fell on the Corps staff, because we are kind of the continuity side.

18 (b)(6) Well, thank you very much, b3, b6 19 (b)(3).(b)(b) I appreciate it. This concludes the interview. Thank 20 you.

21 ---